UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/840,089 | 05/06/2004 | Siu-Kei Tin | 03596.002462. | 7853 | |
| 5514 7590 08/14/2008 FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | GE, YUZHEN | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2624 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 08/14/2008 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Applicant(s) | |
|--------------|-----------------------|
| TIN, SIU-KEI | |
| Art Unit | |
| 2624 | |
| | TIN, SIU-KEI Art Unit |

| | YUZHEN GE | 2624 | |
|---|--|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appea | ars on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 30 July 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL | ICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on tapplication, applicant must timely file one of the following reapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Claperiods: | eplies: (1) an amendment, affida al (with appeal fee) in compliance | vit, or other evidence, w e with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of this Adnotes the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adnotes event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lates the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) | lvisory Action, or (2) the date set fort ter than SIX MONTHS from the maili)). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH | ng date of the final rejection | n. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the street forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amoun nortened statutory period for reply ori | t of the fee. The appropria ginally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compli filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wit AMENDMENTS | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t | o avoid dismissal of the | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or | sideration and/or search (see N0 v); | OTE below); | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.11 | 6 and 41.33(a)). | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s). | | | , |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provious The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | vill be entered and an e. | xplanation of |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe | eal and/or appellant fail: | s to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but | | • | |
| | does NOT place the application | in condition for allowall | ce pecause. |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (Fig. 13. Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| /Matthew C Bella/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624 | Yuzhen Ge Examiner Art Unit: 2624 | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the amendments to the claims, especially, the amendments to the last limitation of claims 1, 12 and 23 "generating a corrected color value in the device independent color space by clipping the mapped color value in the device-independent color value outside..." require further consideration and search.